

# The contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ radiative corrections to the renormalised anisotropy and application to general tadpole improvement schemes: addendum to “One-loop calculation of the renormalised anisotropy for improved anisotropic gluon actions on a lattice”

I.T. Drummond,<sup>1</sup> A. Hart,<sup>2</sup> R.R. Horgan,<sup>1</sup> and L.C. Stononi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*DAMTP, CMS, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, U.K.*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Physics, University of Edinburgh, King’s Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, U.K.*

General  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  radiative corrections to lattice actions may be interpreted as counterterms that give additive contributions to the one-loop renormalisation of the anisotropy. The effect of changing the radiative coefficients is thus easily calculable. In particular, the results obtained in a previous paper for Landau mean link improved actions apply in any tadpole improvement scheme. We explain how this method can be exploited when tuning radiatively improved actions. Efficient methods for self-consistently tuning tadpole improvement factors are also discussed.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 12.38.Gc

## I. INTRODUCTION

The use of anisotropy is becoming an increasingly important and prevalent tool for improving lattice simulations. This stems from its utility in increasing the temporal or momentum resolution of correlation functions without the associated computational burden of reducing the lattice spacing in all directions.

Its use is complicated by the multiplicative renormalisation that occurs on the lattice. In a previous paper [1] we argued that one-loop lattice perturbation theory can be used to calculate the renormalisation. We further demonstrated that a one-loop calculation of the renormalisation is sufficient to describe the measured value to an accuracy of around 3%. Such a determination is more than sufficient for most lattice calculations, including spectroscopy.

These calculations were carried out for the Wilson gauge action, and for a Symanzik improved action also. Results were presented for theories with and without tadpole improvement. The tadpole factors used were from mean links measured in Landau gauge. We have since received enquiries about the anisotropy renormalisation for other definitions of tadpole improvement. Our work does, in fact, cover such situations, but requires a slightly different presentation to make this clear.

In this note we clarify this issue. We illustrate the general applicability of our results by calculating the anisotropy for the aforementioned actions using generic tadpole improvement schemes, including the mean plaquette. We discuss also how this approach may be extended to actions further modified with, for instance, radiatively corrected improvement factors.

## II. METHOD

For clarity, we briefly summarise here our method [1]. We require a quantity that can be described perturbatively, yet which is sensitive to the anisotropy. Using

a 4-dimensional lattice twisted in the 1,2-directions to provide a gauge invariant gluon mass [2], we studied the infrared dispersion relation of the on-shell gluon in Feynman gauge. We consider the gluon mode (known as the  $A$  meson in [2]) which has momentum (Euclidean, and in the isotropic frame)

$$\mathbf{p} = (iE_0, m_0, 0, p_3), \quad m_0 = \frac{2\pi}{NL}. \quad (1)$$

$N$  is the number of colours, and  $L$  the extent of the lattice in the twisted directions.

We restrict our discussion here to the case where the lattice spacing is smaller in the temporal direction only, by a factor of  $\chi$ . The on-shell condition for very small momentum in the (untwisted) 3-direction reads

$$\frac{1}{\chi} [-\chi^2 F_t(E_0) + p_3^2 + F_s(m_0)] = 0. \quad (2)$$

For the Wilson action,  $F_s(m) \equiv 4 \sin^2(m/2)$ , and more complicated functions with the same continuum limit for (Symanzik) improved cases. For actions unimproved in the temporal direction,  $F_t(E) \equiv 4 \sinh^2(E/2)$ .

The one-loop contribution to the self energy,  $\Sigma$ , was calculated using lattice perturbation theory. This leads to a corresponding renormalisation of the anisotropy,  $\chi_R = \chi(1 + \eta g^2)$ , and of the twisted mass,  $m_R = m_0 + m_1 g^2$ . These can be extracted by looking at the variation of the  $\mu = \nu = 2$  component of the self energy with  $p_3$ :

$$2\eta [p_3^2 + F_s(m_0)] - m_1 F'_s(m_0) = \chi \Sigma_{22}(\mathbf{p}). \quad (3)$$

There are two important points to note:

1. For the one-loop calculation, the on-shell condition is that derived from the tree level inverse propagator. The addition of radiative terms to the action, *i.e.* those of  $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$  and above, does not change Eqn. (2).

2. The renormalisation of the anisotropy,  $\eta$ , is proportional to the terms in the one-loop self energy,  $\Sigma$ , that vary as  $p_3^2$ . Radiative terms of  $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$  give counter-term insertions in the gluon propagator that yield additive corrections to  $\Sigma$ , and hence additive corrections to  $\eta$ .

The counter-terms themselves consist of a coefficient,  $d$ , that is separately determined and a 2-point vertex function arising from the perturbative expansion of a combination of closed contours of links in the action. The contribution of the latter to the self energy,  $\Sigma_{\text{ct}}$ , leads to a change in the anisotropy renormalisation

$$\delta\eta = \eta_{\text{ct}} d. \quad (4)$$

It is clear that the results can be applied to a whole class of actions differing only in the choice of  $d$ . The obvious example is for choices of improvement factor in a tadpole improved action. Another interesting case is radiatively improved theories, where we might wish to change the criterion for improvement, and hence the  $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$  couplings in the action.

In all these examples, the anisotropy does not need to be recalculated for a change in  $d$  if have  $\eta_{\text{ct}}$ . This is an obvious benefit when we are, say, in the process of tuning radiative corrections to improved actions.

### III. RESULTS

In this section we present  $\eta_{\text{ct}}$  for a Symanzik and tadpole improved action [1]. The original action contains parameters  $\beta_0$ ,  $\chi_0$  and one tadpole improvement factor,  $u_{s,t}$ , per spatial or temporal link respectively. With a rescaling

$$\beta = \frac{\beta_0}{u_s^3 u_t}, \quad \chi = \chi_0 \frac{u_s}{u_t}, \quad (5)$$

we obtain

$$S_{SI}(\beta, \chi, u_s) = -\beta\chi \sum_{x,s} \left\{ \frac{4}{3} P_{s,t} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{R_{ss,t}}{u_s^2} \right\} - \beta \frac{1}{\chi} \sum_{x,s>s'} \left\{ \frac{5}{3} P_{s,s'} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{R_{ss,s'}}{u_s^2} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{R_{s's',s}}{u_s^2} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $P$ ,  $R$  are plaquettes and  $2 \times 1$  loops respectively,  $t$  denotes the temporal direction, and  $s, s'$  run over the spatial directions.

If the spatial tadpole factor may be written as  $u_s = 1 + d_s g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4)$ , then the action can be expressed as a “bare” action, plus a counter-term:

$$S_{SI}(\beta, \chi, u_s) = S_{SI}(\beta, \chi, u_s = 1) + g^2 \Delta S_{SI} + \mathcal{O}(g^4), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Delta S_{SI} = -\beta d_s \sum_{x,s>s'} -\frac{1}{6} \left\{ \chi R_{ss,t} + \frac{1}{\chi} (R_{ss,s'} + R_{s's',s}) \right\} = \beta S_{\text{ct}}. \quad (8)$$

We can see at this point what the result for  $\eta_{\text{ct}}$  will be by looking at the terms in the action that will contribute via  $\Sigma_{22}$ , *viz.* terms in  $A_2 p_3^2 A_2$ . It is clear from Eqn. (6) that to obtain the correct continuum limit  $R_{ss,t}$  must yield a term proportional to  $4\chi A_2 p_0^2 A_2$  (using continuum notation), and  $R_{ss,s'}$ ,  $R_{s's',s}$  both proportional to  $4A_2 p_3^2 A_2 / \chi$ . For an on-shell propagator,  $\chi^2 p_0^2 + p_3^2 = 0$ . Hence the Symanzik improvement  $\chi^2 R_{ss,t} + R_{ss,s'} + R_{s's',s} \propto 4A_2 p_3^2 A_2$ . The counter-term  $\Delta S_{SI}$  hence contributes  $\Sigma_{\text{ct}} = -4/(6\chi)$ , or

$$\delta\eta(\chi) = -\frac{d_s(\chi)}{3}. \quad (9)$$

We have numerically checked this. We expand  $S_{\text{ct}}$  as a sum of vertex functions using a PYTHON code [3], and calculate the contribution to the one-loop self energy by summing over lattice modes for lattices of extent  $I = 50$  in the untwisted directions. The twisted extent was varied in the range  $4 \leq L \leq 32$ . The corresponding contribution to the anisotropy is extrapolated  $L \rightarrow \infty$  as per [1]. The value for  $\eta_{\text{ct}}$  is accurate to the rounding error (the 6<sup>th</sup> decimal place), and has been calculated over a range of anisotropies  $1 \leq \chi \leq 8$ .

As a further check, we test these results for the mean link in Landau gauge. The renormalisation of the anisotropy for the action without tadpole improvement is (Eqn. (98) of [1])

$$\eta(\chi) = 0.0602 - \frac{0.0656}{\chi} - \frac{0.0237}{\chi^2}. \quad (10)$$

The one-loop expression for the mean link in this gauge is [4, 5]

$$d_s(\chi) = -0.1012 + \frac{0.0895}{\chi} - \frac{0.0513}{\chi^2} - \frac{0.0502 \log \chi}{\chi}. \quad (11)$$

Combining Eqns. (9), (10), (11) yields the mean link improved result with a fit formula consistent with that given in Eqn. (99) of [1].

### IV. APPLICATION

An efficient procedure for carrying out a lattice calculation is thus as follows. It is more convenient to begin with the rescaled action, Eqn. (6), as there is no reference to  $u_t$  (there being no Symanzik improvement in the temporal direction). Having selected  $\beta$  and  $\chi$ , we vary  $u_s$  until we have a self-consistent value (*i.e.* the measured expectation value  $\langle u_s \rangle$  in the chosen tadpole improvement scheme agrees with  $u_s$  used in the action). We now measure the expectation value of  $u_t$ , and *define* its self-consistency through  $\beta_0 = \beta u_s^3 u_t$  and  $\chi_0 = \chi u_t / u_s$ . The tadpole improved coupling is  $g_0^2 \equiv 6/\beta_0$ .

The one-loop renormalisation of the anisotropy,  $\eta(\chi)$  is calculated using the formulae in the previous section. The actual ratio of length scales in different directions is then given to one-loop by

$$\chi_R = \chi(1 + \eta(\chi)g_0^2). \quad (12)$$

For Landau gauge mean link tadpole scheme this prediction is accurate to within 3% [1].

The self-consistent values of  $u_s$  and  $u_t$  can alternatively be calculated in perturbation theory using the chosen tadpole improvement scheme [4]. It is a tenet of tadpole improvement, however, that it is better to demand numerical self-consistency of  $u_{s,t}$ , rather than agreement of the perturbative expansion coefficients to a given order. We are then led to ask whether a similar “non-perturbative” definition  $d_s = (1 - u_s^{-2})/(2g_0^2)$  (Eqn. (6) suggests this form) might improve the anisotropy calculation. We do not find this to be the case; the resulting  $\chi_R$  over-estimates the measured value by around 6%.

It is apparent now from where the dependence of  $\chi_R$  on the choice of tadpole improvement arises. The variation of the one-loop factor  $d_s$  between plaquette and Landau mean link improvement schemes is small. Thus for given  $\chi$ ,  $\eta$  shows little variation with the choice of scheme.

The tadpole improvement parameters for these two schemes are different, however, but the difference is only apparent from simulation results or in two and higher loop perturbation theory. For this reason, if we are simulating the action with chosen parameters  $(\beta_0, \chi_0, u_{s,t})$ , the value of the rescaled  $\chi$  is tadpole improvement scheme dependent. The result is that the majority of the scheme dependence of  $\chi_R$  arises from the fact that it is the rescaled  $\chi$  that is used to extract  $\eta$ , rather than from the variation of  $d_s$  itself.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a brief addendum to our previous paper [1] on the one-loop perturbative calculation

of the anisotropy in lattice gauge theories using the on-shell gluon dispersion relation. We have demonstrated that the anisotropy renormalisations obtained apply to actions with arbitrary choice of tadpole improvement scheme. We re-presented our data to make this explicit.

We pointed out a generalisation of our method. The additive contributions to the anisotropy of counter-terms in the action of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  and above may be calculated separately. This is possible as they do not affect the tree level on-shell condition, and because the one-loop anisotropy renormalisation coefficient is related linearly to the first order gluon self energy.

When varying the radiative coefficients, the change in the renormalisation of the anisotropy is then simply given by a change of weighting in a sum of previously calculated perturbative contributions. This has obvious benefits during, for instance, the tuning of radiative corrections to improved lattice actions.

## Acknowledgments

A. Hart is supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

- 
- [1] I.T. Drummond, A. Hart, R.R. Horgan and L.C. Storoni, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 094509 [hep-lat/0208010].
  - [2] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B 266 (1986) 309.
  - [3] A. Hart, R.R. Horgan and L.C. Storoni, in preparation.
  - [4] I.T. Drummond, A. Hart, R.R. Horgan and L.C.

- Storoni, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 119 (2003) 470 [hep-lat/0209130].
- [5] I.T. Drummond, A. Hart, R.R. Horgan and L.C. Storoni, in preparation.